CHATTOGRAM WATER SUPPLY AND SEWERAGE AUTHORITY



MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM REPORT FOR THE MONTH OF JUNE-2023

WASA BHABAN DAMPARA CHATTOGRAM, BANGLADESH

Phone: 880-31-2851806

Fax: 880-31-610465

Email: info@ctg-wasa.org.bd

Chattogram Water Supply & Sewerage Authority Monthly MIS Report June 2023

| | | | | June 2023 | | | | | | |
|-----|-------------|--|----------------|------------|--------------|----------|-----------|-----------------------|----|------------|
| Г | | | | This month | Year to date | Previous | This | Evaluation Remarks *3 | | ' 3 |
| | | | | | | year | year | *2 | ++ | Too good |
| | | | | | | actual | target *1 | | ! | Very bad |
| Se | lecte | ed Key Indicators | | | | | The TY | | | |
| Ε | 17* | Non Revenue Water | % | 31 | 31 | 30 | 23 | -35% | ! | |
| С | 4* | Revenue collection efficiency(monthly coll.+outstand. Coll.)/monthly | % | 85 | 87 | 93 | 99 | -15% | | |
| D | 9* | Collection period | , Day | 222 | 235 | 282 | 263 | 11% | | |
| [F | 2* | No. of perma. employee per 1000 connections(excl. non-perma. Em | Nos. | 6.4 | N/A | 7.3 | 8.5 | 25% | | |
| D | 8* | Operating Ratio | Ratio | 1.10 | 0.66 | 0.79 | 0.72 | 8% | | |
| Α | 3.5* | Functioning meter rate of installed meter | % | 92 | N/A | 95 | 100 | -8% | | |
| E | 19 | Water quality sample | No./month | 200 | 2,400 | 2,400 | 2,400 | -92% | ! | |
| E | 1 8* | Leakage occurrence | No./km/mth | 0.24 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 5.04 | 93% | ++ | |
| Α | 6* | Water supply coverage | % | 64 | N/A | 62 | 75 | -15% | | |
| В | 5* | Average tariff | Tk/m3 | 18.98 | 18.14 | 14.28 | 15.28 | 24% | | |
| E | 16* | Unit production cost (in/c Capt. Cost, Deprec. & Financial Expense.) | Tk/m3 | 44.17 | 18.71 | 12.31 | 0.00 | 9% | | |
| A) | Conn | ection data | ., as 17.7.274 | | | | 360546 | | | |
| Α | 1 | Total registered connections | Nos. | 92,327 | N/A | 86,788 | 91,700 | 1% | | |
| A | 1.1 | Billable (non-disconnected) connection | Nos. | 86,395 | N/A | 81,005 | 85,700 | 1% | | |
| łΑ | 1.2 | Non-billable (disconnected) connection | Nos. | 5,932 | N/A | 5,783 | 6000 | 1% | | |
| A | 1.3 | Billed connection | Nos. | 83,698 | N/A | 78,980 | 84,000 | 0% | | |
| Α | 2 | Breakdown of billable connection (by customer type) | | | | | | | | |
| A | 2.1* | Domestic | % | 93 | N/A | 93 | 93 | 0% | | |
| A | 2.2 | Non-domestic | % | 7 | N/A | 7 | 7 | 3% | | |
| Α | 3 | Breakdown of billable connection (by meter status) | Ì | | | | | | ı | |
| łA | 3.1 | Metered | Nos. | 78,966 | N/A | 77,176 | 81,700 | -3% | | |
| łA | 3.2 | Average reading | Nos. | 7,326 | N/A | 3,723 | 4,000 | -83% | ! | |
| ĮΑ | 3.3 | Non meter | Nos. | 103 | N/A | 106 | 106 | 3% | 1 | |
| Α | 3.4* | Meter installation rate | % | 100 | N/A | 100 | 100 | 0% | | |
| Α | 3.5* | Functioning meter rate of installed meter | % | 92 | N/A | 95 | 100 | -8% | | |
| √∤A | 4 | Street Hydrant | Nos. | 689 | N/A | 689 | 689 | 0% | | |
| A | 5 | Religious Institutions | Nos. | 368 | N/A | 368 | 368 | 0% | | |
| Α | 6* | Water supply coverage | % | 64 | N/A | 62 | 75 | -15% | | |
| Α | 7 | Bill sent-out ratio | % | 97 | N/A | 98 | 98 | -1% | | |

| | | Unit | This month | Year to date | Previous | This | | Remarks *3 | |
|--|--|----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------------|--|-----------------|
| 1 | | | | | year | year | *2 | ++ | Too good |
| B) Tarif | | -1,46-12/59b/5 | | | actual | target *1 | bullismish Pridshmid | Colone (e.) e de d | Very bac |
| В 1 | Domestic | Tk/m3 | 18.00 | N/A | 12.02 | 12.67 | 220/ | | Grand Si |
| l . | Non-domestic | Tk/m3 | 1 | N/A N/A | 13.02 | 13.67 | 32% | ++ | |
| 1 | | | 37.00 | | 31.82 | 33.41 | 11% | l | |
| B 3 | Street Hydrant | Tk/m3 | 18.00 | N/A | 13.02 | 13.67 | 32% | ++ | |
| B 4 | Religious Institutions | Tk/m3 | 18.00 | N/A | 13.02 | 13.67 | 32% | ++ | |
| B 5* | Average tariff g and Collection | Tk/m3 | 18.98 | 18.14 | 14.28 | 15.28 | 24% | | AMSPASHEN IND |
| (C) Billii | Total billing | TI. | 107 072 227 | 2 155 072 661 | 1.646.409.206 | 1 024 000 000 | 400/ | | |
| 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Private | Tk Tk | 187,072,227 | 2,155,873,661 | 1,646,498,206 | 1,931,900,000 | 12% | | |
| C 1.1* | | | 164,755,223 | 1,888,365,971 | 1,417,237,972 | 1,552,430,000 | 22% | | |
| i | Government | Tk | 22,317,004 | 267,507,690 | 229,260,234 | 379,470,000 | -30% | ! | |
| JC 2 | Billed volume (Total Volume Accounted) | ML | 9,855 | 118,868 | 115,273 | 126,470 | -6% | | |
| √C 3 | Total collection | Tk | 158,516,138 | 1,878,166,418 | 1,532,296,451 | 1,916,900,000 | -2% | | |
| C 3.1* | Private | Tk | 141,332,837 | 1,738,727,636 | 1,385,932,394 | 1,712,297,000 | 2% | | |
| C 3.2* | Government | Tk | 17,183,301 | 139,438,782 | 146,364,057 | 204,603,000 | -32% | ! | |
| C 4* | Revenue collection efficiency(monthly coll.+outstand. Coll.)/monthly bill. | % | 85 | 87 | 93 | 99 | -15% | | |
| C 4:1* | Private | % | 86 | 92 | 98 | 110 | -22% | | |
| C 4.2* | Government | % | 77 | 52 | 64 | 54 | 43% | ++ | NAMES OF STREET |
| Manney and a street of | ncial data | | 400 200 020 | 2 202 442 054 | | 0.000.050.000 | 407 | | |
| D 1 | Revenue (Total) | Tk | 180,206,026 | 2,203,110,954 | 1,828,840,771 | 2,296,850,000 | -4% | | |
| D 1.1 | Water revenue | Tk | 158,516,138 | 1,878,166,418 | 1,532,296,451 | 1,916,900,000 | -2% | | |
| D 1.2* | Tubewell license | Tk | 5,607,896 | 125,253,767 | 114,045,305 | 100,000,000 | 25% | ++ | |
| √D 1.3* | Other operating revenues | Tk | 7,748,659 | 99,690,769 | 82,499,015 | 179,950,000 | -45% | ! | |
| D 1.4* | Interest income | Tk | 8,333,333 | 100,000,000 | 100,000,000 | 100,000,000 | 0% | | |
| D 2 | Expenses (Total) | Tk | 626,567,271 | 3,224,457,367 | 2,032,959,163 | 3,372,762,373 | 4% | | |
| D 2.1* | Personnel cost | Tk | 52,909,428 | 442,684,994 | 426,879,163 | 575,536,000 | 23% | | |
| D 2.2 | Electricity cost | Tk | 50,793,000 | 762,236,000 | 652,415,000 | 773,000,000 | 1% | | |
| D 2.3 | Chemicals | Tk | 23,881,000 | 111,276,000 | 141,233,000 | 140,000,000 | 21% | | |
| D 2.4* | Depreciation | Tk | 367,985,843 | 1,471,943,373 | 246,857,000 | 1,471,943,373 | 0% | | |
| ✓ D 2.5 | Other operating cost | Tk | 130,998,000 | 436,317,000 | 565,575,000 | 412,283,000 | -6% | | |
| D 2.5. | | Tk | 69,852,000 | 148,795,000 | 220,317,000 | 173,693,000 | 14% | | |
| D 2.5. | • | Tk | 61,146,000 | 287,522,000 | 345,258,000 | 238,590,000 | -21% | | |
| D 2.6* | · | Tk | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! | |
| D 3 | Net Income (Loss) | Tk | (446,361,245) | (1,021,346,413) | (204,118,392) | (1,075,912,373) | I . | | |
| D 4* | Cash at bank | Tk | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A | | |
| D 5* | Stock & stores | Tk | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140,034 | N/A | | |
| 40 6 | Accounts Receivable | Tk | 1,386,963,271 | N/A | 1,271,740,973 | 1,271,740,973 | -9% | 1 | |
| D 6.1 | | Tk | 228,472,232 | N/A | 210.605,008 | 210,605,008 | -8% | | |
| D 6.2 | | Tk | 1,158,491,039 | N/A | 1,061,135,965 | 1,061,135,965 | -9% | | |
| ✓D 7* | Long term loans | Τk | 75,761,763 | 303,047,050 | 0 | 303,047,050 | 75% | ++ | |
| D 8* | Operating Ratio | Ratio | 1.10 | 0.66 | 0.79 | 0.72 | 8% | | |
| D 9* | Collection period | Day | 222 | 235 | 282 | 263 | 11% | | |



| | Unit | This month | Year to date | Previous | This | Evaluation | Remarks *3 |
|---|------------|------------|--------------|----------|-----------|------------|-------------------|
| | | : | | year | year | *2 | ++ Too good |
| | | | | actual | target *1 | | ! Very bad |
| E) Water Supply | in Shilar | | | | | | Anumaii Asena est |
| E 3 Capacity of Surface WTP (Mohora+Sk.H.WTP-1+Sk.H.WTP-2+SR | | 466 | N/A | 466 | 490 | -5% | |
| E 4 Capacity of Ground WTP | MLD | 68 | N/A | 68 | 68 | 0% | |
| E 5 Deep Tube Wells in Operation | Nos. | 48 | N/A | 47 | 47 | 2% | |
| E 6* Capacity of DTW - direct distribution | MLD | 35 | N/A | 48 | 48 | -26% | ! |
| E 7* Capacity of DTW - supply to GWTP | MLD | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! |
| E 8* Capacity of distributable water production | MLD | 569 | N/A | 581 | 605 | -6% | |
| ✓E 9 Length of Pipeline | km | 962 | N/A | 962 | 992 | -3% | |
| ↓É 15* Production (distributable water) | ML | 14,184.84 | 172,320 | 165,187 | 164,250 | 5% | |
| E 15.1* DTW water to users before boosters | 'ML | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | N/A | |
| E 16* Unit production cost (in/c Capt. Cost,Deprec. & Financial Expense.) | Tk/m3 | 44.17 | 18.71 | 12.31 | 20.53 | 9% | |
| E 17* Non Revenue Water | % | 31 | 31 | 30 | 23 | -35% | ! |
| E 18* Leakage occurrence | No./km/mtl | 0.24 | 0.35 | 0.38 | 5.04 | 93% | ++ |
| E 19 Water quality sample | No./month | 200 | 2,400 | 2,400 | 2,400 | -92% | ! |
| E 20* Satisfactory sample in chlorine level | % | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0% | |
| E 21* Satisfactory sample in microbiological level | % | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0% | |
| F) Personnel | | | | | | | |
| F 1 No. of permanent employees (Total) | Nos. | 554 | N/A | 591 | 732 | 24% | |
| F 1.1 Grade-3-9 | Nos. | 54 | N/A | 59 | 60 | N/A | ++ |
| F 1.2 Grade-10-11 | Nos. | 36 | N/A | 37 | 62 | N/A | ++ |
| F 1.3 Grade-12-16 | Nos. | 229 | N/A | 254 | 300 | N/A | ++ |
| F 1.4 Grade-17-20 | Nos. | 235 | N/A | 241 | 310 | N/A | ++ |
| F 5 No. of non-permanent employees (Total) | Nos. | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 | #DIV/0! | #DIV/0! |
| F 5.1 Work charge (6 month contract worker) | Nos. | 0 | N/A | 0 | 0 | N/A | ++ |
| F 5.2 Master roll (Daily basis casual worker) Outsource in | Nos. | 0 | N/A | 0 | 300 | N/A | ++ |
| F 5.3 Project staff (hired by project budget) | Nos. | 50 | N/A | 50 | 50 | N/A | ++ |
| F 2* No. of perma. employee per 1000 connections(excl. non-perma. Empl.) | | 6.4 | N/A | 7.3 | 8.5 | 25% | |
| F 3 Average Monthly Salary | Tk | 19,364 | N/A | 18,802 | 19,960 | 3% | |
| F 4* % of Overtime to Basic Salary | % | 14 | N/A | 1 | 32 | 55% | ++ |
| G) Customer Services | | | | | | | |
| G 1 New Service Connection | | | | | | | |
| G 1.1 Service Connection Application Received | Nos. | 418 · | 5,202 | 5,296 | 6,000 | -13% | |
| √G 1.2 Service Connection given | Nos. | 143 | 4,769 | 4,934 | 5,000 | -5% | |
| G 2 Billing complaints | | | | | | | |
| μ G 2.1 Complaints received | Nos. | 180 | 2,300 | 2,510 | 4,500 | 49% | ++ |
| G 2.2 Complaints acted on | Nos. | 150 | 1,819 | 2,050 | 3,500 | 48% | ++ |
| G 3 Leakage complaints received and attended | Nos. | 233 | 4,078 | 4,422 | 5,000 | 18% | |

n-

1

N/A = not applicable (= pointless to calculate, or nonexistent) Some numbers may show the same value in spite of different values, which is due to rounding. *1: "this year target" can be set according to (1) Business Plan, (2) Performance Agreement, (3) discussion with D M D (Engineering), (same or modified value of previous year) *2: Evaluation is made on the basis of variance from the set target. An evaluation result "X %" means that performance of particular indicator is X % better than what is set as the target. if the NRW is 24% and the target is 20%, this performance is considered unfavorable. The evaluation result is shown as -20% (= 1 - 24 / 20), If the number of water quality sample is recorded as 24 when the target is set at 20, this performance can be considered favorable. The evaluation result is shown as 20% (= 24 / 20 - 1). *3: A warning sign " ++ " appears when the evaluation result exceeds 25%, which is considered as the high-end threshold indicating "too good". A warning sign "!" appears when the evaluation result is less than - 25%, which is considered as the low-end threshold indicating "very bad". A2.1: If the total number of billable connections is 45,000 and the number of domestic connections in billable connections is 36,000, this will be 80% (= 36000 / 45000). A3.4: Meter installation rate = 1 - (number of non-meter connection / number of billable connection). A6*: Water Supply Coverage=(Billed Connection x 26 Person per Connection + Total Street Hydrant x 80 Person per Street Hydrant) / Total Population in Water Supply Area *100. A7: Bill sent-out ratio = Billed connection / Billable connection x 100.

B5: Average water tariff = total billing / total billed volume

C1.1: "Private" includes private customers and users of loose water (sold by bowser)

C1.2: "Government" includes government users, street hydrants and religious institutions

C3.1: Same as C1.1. C3.2: Same as C1.2

C4: Revenue collection efficiency = collection /billing x 100. CWASA's existing accounting system cannot classify accounts receivable by age. Therefore the revenue collection efficiency can be shown merely as (total collection during a period + total billing during the same period).

C4.2: Same as C4

C5: Metered volume to billed volume ratio data currently becomes available twice a year due to capacity limitation of computer section.

D1.2: "License and renewal fee of tubewell" in "other operating revenue"

D1.3: Excludes "License and renewal fee of tubewell.

D1.4: As the interest income is not obtainable until the year end, a proxy value is used here so that the net income can be computed. The proxy value is the previous year's monthly interest.

D2.1: Includes salary & allowances, provident fund, gratuity, festival bonus, overtime and earn leave encashment

D2#: Data is only available quarterly instead of monthly. The cost of the latest three month is converted to a monthly average and shown in the monthly data column.

D2.6: Data is only available quarterly instead of monthly. The cost of the latest three month is converted to a monthly average and shown in the monthly data column.

D4: Under the current system, this value is not obtainable until the year end. However it is expected to become obtainable monthly in the future.

D5: Under the current system, this value is not obtainable until the year end. However it is expected to become obtainable monthly in the future.

D6.1: Same as C1.1. D6.2: Same as C1.2

D7: Long term liabilities outstanding as unpaid at the end of month

D8: To see more clearly the CWASA capacity to generate the operating profit before depreciation and interest. the operating ratio is defined as (personnel cost + elec. cost + chemical cost + other O & M) / (total Revenues).

D9: Collection period = (accounts receivable) / (monthly billings/number of days in month)

E6: Production capacity of deep tube wells that supply water directly to users

E7: Production capacity of deep tube wells that supply water to Karulgaht WTP

E15: Distributable water (or system input water) = Water produced at Surface WTP + Water produced at Ground WTP + Water directly distributed from DTW

E15.1; Raw water distributed directly to users from some DTWs on the way to boosters are not included in the distributable water (E15).

E16: Unit production cost =Expenses(Total)/((Dstributable Water Volume+DTW Water directly distributed)*1000)

E17: NRW = (unbilled water / water produced x 100) = [1 - billed water / (distributable water production + DTW Water directly distributed)] x 100

E18: Leakage occurrence = Number of leakage recognized by complaint / length of pipeline at the end of period / number of months covered

E20: This is the rate of satisfactory sample complying with the chlorine standard.

E21: This is the rate of satisfactory sample complying with the microbiological standard.

F2: No. of employee per 1000 connections = (number of permanent staff + non-permanent staff) / (total billable connections/1000)

F4: Only staff workers (Class 3 and Class 4) receive overtime. Thus this ratio is computed based on Class 3 and Class 4 workers' pay.

Executive Engineer (A.C) **Design Division** Chattogram WASA Chattogram.

মাকিসুদ আলম প্রধান প্রকৌশলী চট্টগ্রাম ওয়াগা, চট্টগ্রাম।